

REMARKS

Upon entry of the present amendment, claims 1-30 are pending in the Application. Claim1 has been amended. No new matter has been introduced by this amendment.

1. Rejection of claims 1-30 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

The PTO has rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for being allegedly indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.

Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 has been amended for clarification as requested by the Examiner. Support for the amendment regarding component A is found on page 5 of the application. Support for the amendments regarding components B and C is found on pages 5 and 22 as well as in the Examples. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that the Application and pending claims are patentable in view of the foregoing amendments and/or remarks. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. As always, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the Undersigned by telephone if direct conversation would be helpful.

Respectfully Submitted,

/MaryEGolota/
Mary E. Golota
Registration No. 36,814
Cantor Colburn LLP
(248) 524-2300

Tuesday, October 16, 2007

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS ONLY

BASF CORPORATION
1609 Biddle Avenue
WYANDOTTE, MI 48192
Customer No. 26922

MEG/IK